

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/779,661 02/09/01 ALBUAIJAN 3174/2 **EXAMINER** MM41/0831 DOUGHERTY & TROXELL PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1404** 5205 LEESBURG PIKE FALLS CHURCH VA 22041 2856 DATE MAILED:

08/31/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	779661	Rhalding
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
<u> </u>	RAEV	12 2856
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE ON E	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rr term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	reply within the statutory minuit, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fratute, cause the application	nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	···	•
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excepaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>		
Disposition of Claims	·	
Claim(s) / - /3		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)	W	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
Z Claim(s) 1-13		are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers		requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	• •
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	a)(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	, .	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been		No
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer		
in this national stage application from the Internation	•	• "
*Certified copies not received:		•
Attachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s) 🗆 🗆	nterview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
		Out.
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48 🗆 (	Other

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/779,661

Art Unit: 2856

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- 2. I. Claims 1-5, 7-13 drawn to a system to test an emergency shut off valve that is fluidly actuated, classified in class 73, subclass 168.
  - II. Claim 6, drawn to a system to test an emergency shut off valve using a limit switch, classified in class 73, subclass 168.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because all of the limitations of II are not in I. The subcombination has separate utility such as a system to test an emergency shut off valve that is actuatable via an electric motor

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each of the groups is different (pneumatic versus electrically driven type valves), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2856

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. Raevis whose telephone number is (703) 305-4919.

Rous